Albert Einstein
Old Grove Rd.
Nassau Point
Peconic, Long Island

August 2nd, 1939

F.D. Roosevelt,
President of the United States,
White House
Washington, D.C.

Sir:

Some recent work by E.Fermi and L. Szilard, which has been communicated to me in manuscript, leads me to expect that the element uranium may be turned into a new and important source of energy in the immediate future. Certain aspects of the situation which has arisen seem to call for watchfulness and, if necessary, quick action on the part of the Administration. I believe therefore that it is my duty to bring to your attention the following facts and recommendations:

In the course of the last four months it has been made probable - through the work of Joliot in France as well as Fermi and Szilard in America - that it may become possible to set up a nuclear chain reaction in a large mass of uranium, by which vast amounts of power and large quantities of new radium-like elements would be generated. Now it appears almost certain that this could be achieved in the immediate future.

This new phenomenon would also lead to the construction of bombs, and it is conceivable - though much less certain - that extremely powerful bombs of a new type may thus be constructed. A single bomb of this type, carried by boat and exploded in a port, might very well destroy the whole port together with some of the surrounding territory. However, such bombs might very well prove to be too heavy for transportation by air.

a64a01

The United States has only very poor ores of uranium in moderate quantities. There is some good ore in Canada and the former Czechoslovakia, while the most important source of uranium is Belgian Congo.

In view of this situation you may think it desirable to have some permanent contact maintained between the Administration and the group of physicists working on chain reactions in America. One possible way of achieving this might be for you to entrust with this task a person who has your confidence and who could perhaps serve in an inofficial capacity. His task might comprise the following:

a) to approach Government Departments, keep them informed of the further development, and put forward recommendations for Government action, giving particular attention to the problem of securing a supply of uranium ore for the United States;

b) to speed up the experimental work, which is at present being carried on within the limits of the budgets of University laboratories, by providing funds, if such funds be required, through his contacts with private persons who are willing to make contributions for this cause, and perhaps also by obtaining the co-operation of industrial laboratories which have the necessary equipment.

I understand that Germany has actually stopped the sale of uranium from the Czechoslovakian mines which she has taken over. That she should have taken such early action might perhaps be understood on the ground that the son of the German Under-Secretary of State, von Weizsäcker, is attached to the Kaiser-Wilhelm-Institut in Berlin where some of the American work on uranium is now being repeated.

Yours very truly,

A. Einstein

(Albert Einstein)

a64a02

ONE SOUTH WILLIAM STREET
NEW YORK

October 11, 1939

Dear Mr. President:

With approaching fulfillment of your plans in connection with revision of the Neutrality Act, I trust that you may now be able to accord me the opportunity to present a communication from Dr. Albert Einstein to you and other relevant material bearing on experimental work by physicists with far-reaching significance for National Defense.

Briefly, the experimentation that has been going on for half a dozen years on atomic disintegration has culminated this year (a) in the discovery by Dr. Leo Szilard and Professor Fermi that the element, uranium, could be split by neutrons and (b) in the opening up of the probability of chain reactions, - that is, that in this nuclear process uranium itself may emit neutrons. This new development in physics holds out the following prospects:

1. The creation of a new source of energy which might be utilized for purposes of power production;

2. The liberation from such chain reaction of new radioactive elements, so that tons rather than grams of radium could be made available in the medical field;

3. The construction, as an eventual probability, of bombs of hitherto unenvisaged potency and scope: As Dr. Einstein observes, in the letter which I will leave with you, "a single bomb of this type carried by boat and exploded in a port might well destroy the whole port together with some of the surrounding territory!"

In connection, then, with the practical importance of this work - for power, healing and national defense purposes - it needs to be borne in mind that our supplies of uranium are limited and poor in quality as compared with the large sources of excellent uranium in the Belgian Congo and, next in line, Canada and former Czechoslovakia. It has come to the attention of Dr. Einstein and the rest of the group concerned with this problem that Germany has actually stopped the sale of uranium from the Czechoslovakian mines it seized. This action must be related to the fact that the son of the German Under-Secretary of State, Karl von Weizsaecker, had been an assistant at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute in Berlin

a64b01

to some of the great physicists now resident in this country who are carrying forward these experiments on uranium.

Mindful of the implications of all this for democracy and civilization in the historic struggle against the totalitarianism that has exploited the inventions of the free human spirit, Dr. Szilard, in consultation with Professor E. P. Wigner, head of the physics department of Princeton, and Professor E. Teller of George Washington University, sought to aid this work in the United States through the formation of an association for scientific collaboration, to intensify the cooperation of physicists in the democratic countries - such as Professor Joliot in Paris, Professor Lindemann of Oxford and Dr. Dirac of Cambridge - and to withhold publication of the progress in the work on chain reactions. As the international crisis developed this summer, these refugee scholars and the rest of us in consultation with them unanimously agreed that it was their duty, as well as desire, to apprise you at the earliest opportunity of their work and to enlist your cooperation.

In view of the danger of German invasion of Belgium, it becomes urgent to make arrangements - preferably through diplomatic channels - with the Union Miniere du Haut-Katanga, whose head office is at Brussels, to make available abundant supplies of uranium to the United States. In addition, it is necessary to enlarge and accelerate the experimental work, which can no longer be carried out within the limited budgets of the departments of theoretical physics in our universities. It is believed that public-spirited executives in our leading chemical and electrical companies could be persuaded to make available certain amounts of uranium oxide and quantities of graphite, and to bear the considerable expense of the newer phases of the experimentation. An alternative plan would be the enlistment of one of the foundations to supply the necessary materials and funds. For either plan and for all the purposes, it would seem advisable to adopt the suggestion of Dr. Einstein that you designate an individual and a committee to serve as a liaison between the scientists and the Executive Departments.

In the light of the foregoing, I desire to be able to convey in person, in behalf of these refugee scholars, a sense of their eagerness to serve the nation that has afforded them hospitality, and to present Dr. Einstein's letter together with a memorandum which Dr. Szilard prepared after some discussion with me and copies of some of the articles that have appeared in scientific journals. In addition, I would request in their behalf

a64602

a conference with you in order to lay down the lines of policy with respect to the Belgian source of supply and to arrange for a continuous liaison with the Administration and the Army and Navy Departments, as well as to solve the immediate problems of necessary materials and funds.

With high regard,

Yours sincerely,

The President,
The White House,
Washington, D. C.

a64603

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

October 13, 1939.

MEMORANDUM FOR
GENERAL WATSON

Will you prepare a nice note of thanks to Professor Einstein and return his letter to Grace for our very confidential files?

F. D. R.

a64c01

October 17, 1939

Dear Professor Einstein:

The President has asked me to thank you very much for your recent letter and for your thoughtfulness in sending the manuscripts to him. He has found the data of this research most interesting and is deeply grateful for your kindness in bringing it to his attention. I am glad to inform you that the matter is being thoroughly investigated by a board in cooperation with Dr. Sachs.

With kindest regards, I am,

Sincerely yours,

EDWIN M. WATSON
Secretary to the President

Dr. Albert Einstein,
Old Grove Road,
Nassau Point,
Peconic, Long Island,
New York.

get

a64d01

C O P Y

October 19, 1939

My dear Professor:

I want to thank you for your recent letter and the most interesting and important enclosure.

I found this data of such import that I have convened a Board consisting of the head of the Bureau of Standards and a chosen representative of the Army and Navy to thoroughly investigate the possibilities of your suggestion regarding the element of uranium.

I am glad to say that Dr. Sachs will cooperate and work with this Committee and I feel this is the most practical and effective method of dealing with the subject.

Please accept my sincere thanks.

Very sincerely yours,

(signed) Franklin D. Roosevelt

Dr. Albert Einstein,
Old Grove Road,
Nassau Point,
Peconic, Long Island,
New York.

C O P Y

a64e01

MEMORANDUM

Much experimentation on atomic desintegration was done during the past five years, but up to this year the problem of liberating nuclear energy could not be attacked with any reasonable hope for success. Early this year it became known that the element uranium can be split by neutrons. It appeared conceivable that in this nuclear process uranium itself may emit neutrons, and a few of us envisaged the possibility of liberating nuclear energy by means of a chain reaction of neutrons in uranium.

Experiments were thereupon performed, which led to striking results. One has to conclude that a nuclear chain reaction could be maintained under certain well defined conditions in a large mass of uranium. It still remains to prove this conclusion by actually setting up such a chain reaction n a large-scale experiment.

This new development in physics means that a new source of power is now being created. Large amounts of energy would be liberated, and large quantities of new radioactive elements would be produced in such a chain reaction.

In medical applications of radium we have to deal with quantities of grams; the new radioactive elements could be produced in the chain reaction in quantities corresponding to tons of radium equivalents. While the practical applications would include the medical field, it would not be limited to it.

A radioactive element gives a continuous release of energy for a certain period of time. The amount of energy which is released per unit weight of material may be very large, and therefore such elements might

a64f01

be used - if available in large quantities - as a fuel for driving boats or airplanes. It should be pointed out however that the physiological action of the radiations emitted by these new radioactive elements makes it necessary to protect those who have to stay close to a large quantity of such an element, for instance the driver of the airplane. It may therefore be necessary to carry large quantities of lead, and this necessity might impede a development along this line, or at least limit the field of application.

Large quantities of energy would be liberated in a chain reaction which might be utilized for purposes of power production in the form of a stationary power plant.

In view of this development it may be a question of national importance to secure an adequate supply of uranium. The United States has only very poor ores of uranium in moderate quantities; there is a good ore of uranium in Canada where the total deposit is estimated to be about 3000 tons; there may be about 1500 tons of uranium in Czechoslovakia, which is now controlled by Germany; there is an unknown amount of uranium in Russia, but the most important source of uranium, consisting of an unknown, but probably very large amount of good ore, is Belgian Congo.

It is suggested therefore to explore the possibility of bringing over from Belgium or Belgian Congo a large stock of pitchblend, which is the ore of both radium and uranium, and to keep this stock here for possible future use. Perhaps a large quantity of this ore might be obtained as a token reparation payment from the Belgian Government. In

a64f02

taking action along this line it would not be necessary officially to disclose that the uranium content of the ore is the point of interest; action might be taken on the ground that it is of value to secure a stock of the ore on account of its radium content for possible future extraction of the radium for medical purposes.

Since it is unlikely that an earnest attempt to secure a supply of uranium will be made before the possibility of a chain reaction has been visibly demonstrated, it appears necessary to do this as quickly as possible by performing a large-scale experiment. The previous experiments have prepared the ground to the extent that it is now possible clearly to define the conditions under which such a large-scale experiment would have to be carried out. Still two or three different setups may have to be tried out, or alternatively preliminary experiments have to be carried out with several tons of material if we want to decide in advance in favor of one set-up or another. These experiments cannot be carried out within the limited budget which was provided for laboratory experiments in the past, and it has now become necessary either to strengthen - financially or otherwise - the organizations which concerned themselves with this work up to now, or to create some new organization for the purpose. Public-spirited private persons who are likely to be interested in supporting this enterprise should be approached without delay, or alternatively the collaboration of the chemical or the electrical industry should be sought.

The investigations were hitherto limited to chain reactions based on the action of slow neutrons. The neutrons emitted from the splitting uranium are fast, but they are slowed down in a mixture of uranium

a64f03

and a light element. Fast neutrons lose their energy in colliding with atoms of a light element in much the same way as a billard ball loses velocity in a collision with another ball. At present it is an open question whether such a chain reaction can also be made to work with fast neutrons which are not slowed down.

There is reason to believe that, if fast neutrons could be used, it would be easy to construct extremely dangerous bombs. The destructive power of these bombs can only be roughly estimated, but there is no doubt that it would go far beyond all military conceptions. It appears likely that such bombs would be too heavy to be transported by airplane, but still they could be transported by boat and exploded in port with disastrous results.

Although at present it is uncertain whether a fast neutron reaction can be made to work, from now on this possibility will have to be constantly kept in mind in view of its far-reaching military consequences. Experiments have been devised for settling this important point, and it is solely a question of organization to ensure that such experiments should be actually carried out.

Should the experiments show that a chain reaction will work with fast neutrons, it would then be highly advisable to arrange among scientists for withholding publications on this subject. An attempt to arrange for withholding publications on chain reactions has already been made early in March, but was abandoned in spite of favorable response in this country and in England on account of the negative attitude of certain French laboratories. The experience gained in March would make it possible to revive this attempt whenever it should be necessary.

Leo Szillard
(Signed)

a64f04

With compliments
from Leo Szilard

Neutron Production and Absorption in Uranium

H. L. Anderson, E. Fermi and Leo Szilard

Reprinted from The Physical Review, Vol. 56, No. 3, August 1, 1939

AUGUST 1, 1939 PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 56
Printed in U. S. A.

Neutron Production and Absorption in Uranium*

H. L. Anderson, E. Fermi and Leo Szilard
Columbia University, New York, New York
(Received July 3, 1939)

It has been found[1-3] that there is an abundant emission of neutrons from uranium under the action of slow neutrons, and it is of interest to ascertain whether and in what extent the number of neutrons emitted exceeds the number absorbed.

This question can be investigated by placing a photo-neutron source in the center of a large water tank and comparing, with and without uranium in the water, the number of thermal neutrons present in the water. In the previous experiments of this type[1,3] it was attempted to have as closely as possible a spherically symmetrical distribution of neutrons. The number of thermal neutrons present in the water was determined by measuring along one radius the neutron density ρ as a function of the distance r from the center, and then calculating $\int r^2 \rho dr$. A difference in favor of uranium of about five percent was reported by von Halban, Joliot and Kowarski.[4]

Since one has to measure a small difference, slight deviations from a spherically symmetrical distribution might give misleading results. The present experiments which are based on the same general principle do not require such symmetry. In order to measure the number of thermal neutrons in the water we filled the tank with a ten-percent solution of $MnSO_4$. The activity induced in manganese is proportional to the number of thermal neutrons present. A physical averaging was performed by stirring the solution before measuring the activity of a sample with an ionization chamber. To obtain an effect of sufficient magnitude about 200 kg of U_3O_8 was used.

The experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 1. A photo-neutron source, consisting of about 2 g of radium and 250 g of beryllium was placed in the center of the tank. The geometry was such that practically all neutrons emitted by the source and by the uranium oxide were slowed down and absorbed within the tank. Each irradiation extended over several half-life periods of radiomanganese and the observed activity of the solution was about four times the background of the ionization chamber. Alternating measurements were taken with the cans filled with uranium oxide and with empty cans of the same dimensions. The activity proved to be about ten percent higher with uranium oxide than without it. This result shows that in our arrangement more neutrons are emitted by uranium than are absorbed by uranium.

In order to find the average number of fast neutrons emitted by uranium for each thermal neutron absorbed by uranium, we have to determine what fraction of the total number of neutrons emitted by the photo-neutron source is, in our experiment, absorbed in the thermal region by uranium. The number of photo-neutrons



Fig. 1. Horizontal section through center of cylindrical tank which is filled with 540 liters of 10-percent $MnSO_4$ solution. A, Photo-neutron source composed of 2.3 grams of radium and 250 grams of beryllium. B, One of 52 cylindrical cans 5 cm in diameter and 60 cm in height, which are either empty or filled with uranium oxide.

* Publication assisted by the Ernest Kempton Adams Fund for Physical Research of Columbia University.

[1] v. Halban, Joliot and Kowarski, Nature 143, 470 (1939).
[2] L. Szilard and W. H. Zinn, Phys. Rev. 55, 799 (1939).
[3] Anderson, Fermi and Hanstein, Phys. Rev. 55, 797 (1939).
[4] v. Halban, Joliot and Kowarski, Nature 143, 680 (1939).

a64,02

emitted by the source is indicated by the activity of the solution in the tank when the irradiation is carried out with empty cans surrounding the source. We obtained a measure of this number by taking into account that in our solution about 20 percent of the neutrons are captured by manganese and the rest by hydrogen. In order to obtain in the same units a measure of the number of neutrons absorbed by uranium we proceeded in the following way. A mixture of sand and manganese powder, having the same thermal neutron absorption as uranium oxide replaced the uranium oxide in $\frac{1}{3}$ of the cans which were distributed uniformly among the other uranium oxide-filled cans. After irradiation, all this powder was mixed together, a ten-percent $MnSO_4$ solution was prepared from a sample, and its activity was measured with our ionization chamber.

In this way we found that about 50 percent of the neutrons emitted by the source are absorbed as thermal neutrons by uranium in our arrangement. It follows that, if uranium absorbed only thermal neutrons, the observed ten percent increase in activity obtained with uranium present would correspond to an average emission of about 1.2 neutrons per thermal neutron absorbed by uranium. This number should be increased, to perhaps 1.5, by taking into account the neutrons which in our particular arrangement, are absorbed at resonance in the nonthermal region by uranium, without causing neutron emission.

From this result we may conclude that a nuclear chain reaction could be maintained in a system in which neutrons are slowed down without much absorption until they reach thermal energies and are then mostly absorbed by uranium rather than by another element. It remains an open question, however, whether this holds for a system in which hydrogen is used for slowing down the neutrons.

In such a system the absorption of neutrons takes place in three different ways. The neutrons are absorbed at thermal energies both by hydrogen and uranium, and they are also absorbed by uranium at resonance before they are slowed down to thermal energies. Our result is independent of the ratio of the concentrations of hydrogen and uranium insofar as it shows that, for thermal neutrons the ratio of the cross section for neutron production and neutron absorption in uranium is greater than one, and probably about 1.5. What fraction of the neutrons will reach thermal energies without being absorbed will, however, depend on the ratio of the average concentrations of hydrogen and uranium. Since there is an appreciable absorption even far from the center of the resonance band, it follows that the fraction of neutrons absorbed by uranium at resonance will increase with decreasing hydrogen concentration. This has to be taken into account in discussing the possibility of a nuclear chain reaction in a system composed essentially of uranium and hydrogen. A chain reaction would require that more neutrons be produced by uranium than absorbed by uranium and hydrogen together. In our experiment the ratio of the average concentration of hydrogen to uranium atoms was 17 to 1, and in the experiment of von Halban, Joliot and Kowarski this ratio was 70 to 1. At such concentrations the absorption of hydrogen in the thermal region will prevent a chain reaction. By reducing the concentration of hydrogen one would obtain the following effect. On the one hand a larger fraction of those neutrons which reach thermal energies will be absorbed by uranium, on the other hand fewer neutrons reach the thermal region due to an increased absorption by uranium at resonance. Of these two counteracting factors the first is more important for high hydrogen concentrations and the second is more important for low hydrogen concentrations. Starting with high hydrogen concentrations, the ratio of neutron production to total neutron absorption will thus first rise, then pass through a maximum and, as the hydrogen concentration is decreased, thereafter decrease. We attempted to estimate the quantities involved from the information available about resonance absorption in uranium[5-7] and from the observed net gain of 0.2 in the number of neutrons in our experiment. The effect of the absorption at resonance turns out to be as

[5] Meitner, Hahn and Strassmann, Zeits. f. Physik 106, 249 (1937).
[6] v. Halban, Kowarski and Savitch, Comptes rendus 208, 1396 (1939).
[7] H. L. Anderson and E. Fermi, Phys. Rev. 55, 1106 (1939).

a64903

large that even at the optimum concentration of hydrogen it is at present quite uncertain whether neutron production will exceed the total neutron absorption. More information concerning the resonance absorption of uranium as well as more accurate measurement of some of the values which enter into our calculation are required before we can conclude whether a chain reaction is possible in mixtures of uranium and water.

We wish to thank Dr. D. W. Stewart, of the Department of Chemistry, and Mr. S. E. Krewer for advice and assistance in carrying out some of these experiments. We are much indebted to the Eldorado Radium Corporation for enabling us to work with large quantities of uranium oxide in our experiments, and to the Association for Scientific Collaboration for the use of the photo neutron source and other facilities.

a64904

THE ERNEST KEMPTON ADAMS FUND FOR PHYSICAL RESEARCH
OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

REPRINT SERIES

INSTANTANEOUS EMISSION OF FAST NEUTRONS IN THE INTERACTION OF SLOW NEUTRONS WITH URANIUM

By

LEO SZILARD AND WALTER H. ZINN

Reprinted from THE PHYSICAL REVIEW, Vol. 55, No. 8, April 15, 1939

Reprinted from The Physical Review, Vol. 55, No. 8, 799-800, April 15, 1939
Printed in U. S. A.

Instantaneous Emission of Fast Neutrons in the Interaction of Slow Neutrons with Uranium*

Recently it became known[1] that uranium can be split by neutrons into two elements of about equal atomic weight. In this fission of uranium the two elements produced have a large neutron excess; moreover they are probably produced in an excited nuclear state. One might therefore expect that these excited fragments instantaneously emit neutrons and that perhaps the number emitted is even larger than one per fission.

One might also expect a delayed emission of neutrons—as was first pointed out by Fermi—if some of the fragments go through one or more beta-transformations before they emit a neutron. Delayed emission of neutrons caused by the action of both slow and fast neutrons on uranium has recently been reported by Roberts, Meyer, and Wang,[2] who find a period of about 12 seconds.

In order to see if there is an instantaneous emission of neutrons from the fission of uranium we have performed the following experiment. We exposed uranium oxide to neutrons which were slowed down by paraffin wax, using as a source of neutrons a block of beryllium from which photoneutrons were liberated by the gamma-rays of radium. A helium filled ionization chamber connected to a linear amplifier served as a detector for fast neutrons. The ionization pulses of the chamber were observed visually by means of a cathode-ray oscillograph and were recorded by the usual counting arrangement.

Figure 1 shows a diagram of the experimental arrangement. The ionization chamber is covered by a cadmium sheet cap G which prevents the thermal neutrons from penetrating to the helium ionization chamber. A cadmium sheet shield H 0.5 mm thick, is used to cover the cylindrical box E which contains 2300 g of uranium oxide. The uranium oxide is screened from the thermal neutrons by this shield and can be exposed to them simply by removing the shield.

We observed about 50 pulses per minute from the helium chamber when we exposed the uranium oxide to the thermal neutrons in the absence of the cadmium shield H but obtained only 5 pulses per minute when the uranium was screened from the thermal neutrons by the cadmium shield. The difference of about 45 pulses per minute we have to attribute to fast neutrons emitted from uranium under the action of thermal neutrons. It is reasonable to assume that this emission of fast neutrons is connected with the fission of uranium.



FIG. 1. Arrangement for the observation of the emission of fast neutrons from uranium. A, Radium. B, Beryllium block. C, Paraffin wax. D, Lead block. E, Box filled with uranium oxide. F, Ionization chamber. G, Cadmium sheet cap. H, Cadmium sheet shield.

Control experiments were carried out in which uranium was replaced by lead. The effect of the presence and absence of the cadmium shield H and the cadmium cap G was tested.

In order to estimate the number of fast neutrons emitted per fission under the action of thermal neutrons we used an ionization chamber lined with a thick layer of uranium oxide having an area of 25 cm². This uranium chamber was put in place of the helium chamber without otherwise materially changing the experimental arrangement. Under these conditions the uranium chamber gave about 45 fissions per minute. Assuming the range of the fission fragments to be about 0.005 g per cm² in uranium oxide the observed 45 fissions per minute should occur in a surface layer weighing 0.13 g of the thick uranium oxide lining. Accordingly, about 800 000 fissions per minute should occur in the 2300 g of uranium oxide which was used in our experiment. By taking into account the solid angle, the size of the helium chamber and the pressure used, and by assuming that the fission neutrons have an average collision cross section in helium of 3.5×10^{-24} cm² we find the number of neutrons emitted per fission to be about two.

This number is of course only a rough estimate, the main cause of uncertainty is the considerable variation of the cross section of helium with the neutron energy in the region around one million volts.[3] A hydrogen-filled

a64402

ionization chamber is now being used in order to obtain a more accurate estimate. It seems to be established however, that the order of magnitude is one neutron per fission.

Anderson, Fermi and Hanstein have independently, and by a different method, carried out experiments on the neutron emission connected with the fission of uranium. Our observations are consistent with their results, and we wish to thank them for communicating their results to us before publication.

While from our observations we can only say that the time delay involved in this "instantaneous" neutron emission appears to be less than one second, we should expect, for theoretical reasons, this emission to take place within less than 10^{-12} second.

We have also looked for a delayed emission of fast neutrons by performing the following experiment. The uranium oxide was irradiated for some length of time in the arrangement shown in Fig. 1. Then the radium was quickly removed from the beryllium block and the cathode-ray oscillograph screen was watched for a period of 15 seconds for an indication of a delayed emission of fast neutrons. After the radium is removed there is no gamma-ray background to set a lower limit for the observable helium recoil energy; the only slight background remaining is due to electrical fluctuations of the amplifier. In 50 experiments, corresponding to a total observation time of more than 12 minutes, we observed only two pulses which may or may not have been due to a delayed emission of fast neutrons. This is to be compared with the emission of 45 fast neutrons per minute, the number observed while the radium is inside the beryllium block. We conclude that if slow neutrons falling on uranium cause a delayed emission of neutrons which are sufficiently fast for us to observe, their number must be very much smaller than the number of neutrons which we have observed in the instantaneous emission.

We are indebted to Dr. S. Seely for his assistance in carrying out some of these experiments. We wish to thank the Department of Physics of Columbia University for the hospitality and the facilities extended to us, and also wish to thank the Association for Scientific Collaboration for enabling us to use one gram of radium in these experiments.

LEO SZILARD
WALTER H. ZINN

Pupin Physics Laboratories,
Columbia University,
New York, New York,
March 16, 1939

* Publication assisted by the Ernest Kempton Adams Fund for Physical Research of Columbia University.

[1] O. Hahn and F. Strassmann, Naturwiss. 27, 11 (1939). L. Meitner and R. Frisch, Nature (February 1939).
[2] R. B. Roberts, R. C. Meyer and P. Wang, Phys. Rev. 55, 510 (1939).
[3] H. Staub and W. R. Stephens, Phys. Rev. 55, 131 (1939).

a64403

JOHN MOYNAHAN & COMPANY, INC
155 EAST 44TH STREET, NEW YORK, N Y 10017
MURRAY HILL 7 5545 · CABLE: MOYNAHON

Public Relations

September 29, 1965

69-457

Miss Elizabeth B. Drewry, Director
Franklin D. Roosevelt Library
Hyde Park, New York

Dear Miss Drewry:

Thank you very much for your September 24 letter and the copies of four more letters on nuclear fission. We have carefully noted your statement that literary rights are limited to President Roosevelt's letters and Professor Einstein's 1939 letter to him.

You and Mr. Nixon have been so helpful to us that we should like to do something for you. In his September 9 letter to us, Mr. Nixon said it was not clear whether Leo Szilard's undated memorandum was an enclosure to Einstein's letter or to Sachs's letter. Our researches have revealed the following information, verified by Atomic Energy Commission history on this subject:

The Einstein letter dated August 2, 1939, emerged from conferences between Alexander Sachs and Leo Szilard. Einstein signed it at their request. Sachs asked Szilard to write an accompanying memorandum explaining more fully the underlying science of nuclear fission and stressing chain reaction. Sachs was to deliver both documents to President Roosevelt in an interview with him. President Roosevelt became very preoccupied with the international crisis and was busy trying to win repeal of the arms embargo from a reluctant Congress. Therefore Sachs waited for a more propitious time to see him, and arranged an appointment for October 11. He wrote his October 11 letter, placed it with the Einstein letter and the Szilard memorandum to form a dossier, and went to the White House. At the beginning of the interview, Sachs read his own October 11 letter as President Roosevelt listened, then delivered all three documents to him. As the interview came to a close, President Roosevelt called in his aide, "Pa" Watson, and said, "This requires action."

Therefore the three documents, taken together, may be characterized as a unit — the dossier which set in motion the machinery which produced The Bomb.

Very sincerely yours,

Howard Walls
Howard Walls

a64.01